## Agree and Disagree Rubric

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Poor
	5 Points	3 Points	1 Points
Post 1 Original Post Post 2 Read and Response Posts	5 Points The author's post was well thought out, details and defined the issue. The post was supported with data, references and several relevant facts. All the information provided was clear, accurate and thoroughly. The author's rebuttal to their opponent was thoroughly explored and detailed response. All counter- arguments were accurate, relevant and strong.	<b>3 Points</b> The author's post was contained some details and defined the issue. The post was supported with some data, references and facts. Most of the information provided was clear, accurate and thoroughly. The author's rebuttal to their opponent was reasonably explored but some major points of opposition were not addressed. All counter- arguments were for the most part accurate and	1 Points The author's post contained very little details on the stance, evidence to support it and relevant data and references. Much of the information provided was not clear and inaccurate. The author did not understand the opposite view of the topic. All counter- arguments were not relevant to the topic, no accurate and weak.
Post 3 Did you change your mind	The author's post summarized the issue at hand and included key findings to support stance and defend the stance against opponent's key evidence.	relevant. The author's post summarized the issue at hand but lacked some details. The post contained key findings to support stance but the information was	The author's post summarized the issue at hand was not complete, lacked details, and did not address the opposing view.

O'Callaghan/McMahon/Bohman (October 2016) This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <u>http://creativecommos.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/</u>



Connection to	The post included	The post included	The post included
	-	·	<b>▲</b>
Readings/Lectures	evidence of the	evidence of the	evidence of the
	readings, lectures	readings, lectures	readings, lectures
	and outside	and outside	and outside
	research. At least	research. At least	research. No
	two sources were	one source was	sources were used
	used to support	used to support	to support the
	the stance.	the stance.	stance.
Criteria	<b>Excellent 3 points</b>	Poor 1 Point	
Read and	The author read	The author did not	
response	and responded to	read and	
requirement	the correct	responded to the	
	number of posts.	correct number of	
		posts.	
Criteria	Excellent	Poor	
	2 Points	1 Point	
Spelling and	There were no	There were some	
Grammar	grammar and/or	grammar and/or	
	spelling errors.	spelling errors.	

